

Pedagogical Alignment and Modeling

What the Science Says

The fundamental nature of learning and development does not change for adult learners. Experiences and relationships continue to be important; learning is still social, emotional, and cognitive; and learning continues to be a process of active construction of knowledge enabled by relevant, practical experiences supported by modeling and feedback. In addition, because all learners connect new information to prior knowledge through active knowledge construction, teachers have difficulty enacting pedagogical methods they have not had the opportunity to experience, and thus to know and understand in complex and meaningful ways. Therefore, for new teachers, learning *about* pedagogy derived from the science of learning and development also means learning *with* and *through* such pedagogy.

For new teachers, learning *about* pedagogy derived from the science of learning and development also means learning *with* and *through* such pedagogy.

It is vital that educator preparation provides teachers with opportunities to develop a deep personal understanding of the strategies they can use and rich models of practice on which to draw to align their teaching with the science of learning and development (SoLD). Developing this understanding requires new teachers to see and experience the very kinds of teaching strategies they are expected to develop for their pupils.

In addition, effective experiences are those made educative through guided reflection and processing of the meaning of the experience. Neuroscientists have demonstrated that the development of neural pathways is associated with exposure to and generation of language.⁵⁶ Like other students, teacher candidates sharpen their thinking as they converse about their reasoning and inquire into what they do not yet understand. When they can articulate concepts, use them in a task, see or hear other models of thinking, and get feedback, they learn more deeply. Similarly, learning is supported by techniques that lead to the elaboration of material, such as self-explanation, peer teaching, and representing information in multiple modalities. These techniques deepen conceptual understanding and strengthen mental models.

Overview

A critical program strategy for enabling teacher candidates to learn sophisticated approaches to teaching that extend beyond their previous school experience is pedagogical alignment in both coursework and clinical work around a coherent vision of whole child development, learning, and teaching. Educators' capacity to build a positive, collaborative culture focused on whole child development and learning is shaped by immersion in supportive contexts that illustrate all the aspects of whole child pedagogy. It also means learning with and through such pedagogy, the goal and the guide for teacher educators.

In less formal terms, "pedagogical alignment" means that teacher educators must "practice what they preach," with implications for programs and for teacher candidates. On the programmatic side, pedagogical alignment stands in contrast to long-established habits and institutional structures that

position preparation as a site of knowledge acquisition and classrooms as sites of knowledge application. SoLD-aligned preparation challenges these distinctions and replaces these partial learning models with holistic ones. For candidates, their long experience in schools that afforded an “apprenticeship of observation”⁵⁷ has generally produced conceptions about teaching that are partial and assumptions that are sometimes misaligned with the science of learning. These may need to be evaluated and reconsidered. This process, along with institutional structures and habits, makes pedagogical alignment and modeling a uniquely demanding principle for programs to implement. Among other things, it requires coherence around a vision of practice across the program—one that faculty both espouse and engage in—and continuous efforts to support program faculty and school mentors in practicing what they preach in concert.

Concentrated efforts are needed to create professional learning opportunities that can help educators develop the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to enact these insights. Integration of theory and practice around a SoLD-aligned vision, which is necessary for the development of adaptive expertise, is made possible by close partnerships between programs, schools, and districts. These efforts will be most successful if they engage educators in sustained, collegial efforts to experience and practice pedagogically aligned skills and strategies. This is particularly important in learning content-specific pedagogies that shape instruction in the disciplines—what it means to deeply understand concepts and engage in disciplinary practices in science, math, history, the social sciences, language and literature, or the arts.

In coursework and clinical work settings, instructors, supervisors, and cooperating teachers enact and unpack practices they expect candidates to use. They design tasks and processes for engaging them that are clear and support understanding, making their own thinking visible, guiding group processes and collaboration, and asking questions to solicit reflections. As they model good reasoning strategies, they support teacher candidates’ ability to take up these strategies themselves.⁵⁸

What Teachers and Teacher Educators Can Do

A critical program strategy for enabling candidates to learn sophisticated approaches to teaching is pedagogical alignment around a coherent vision of whole child development, learning, and teaching. In both their coursework and clinical work settings, new teachers should experience the very kinds of teaching strategies they are expected to develop for their pupils. In subject areas, strategies may focus on the modes of inquiry in the disciplines—for example, approaches to scientific inquiry, historical or social science research, mathematical modeling, literary analysis or close reading, writing processes, and so on. In more cross-cutting areas like classroom management, they may focus on strategies like community circles, design of classroom responsibilities, and restorative practices that are used in both coursework and clinical site contexts so that candidates experience and see how they can create a strong learning community that functions to create membership, shared norms, and positive supports for behavior.

Engaging in these kinds of experiences or seeing them implemented in a context where analysis and reflection help unpack the teaching and learning process can give candidates a deep personal understanding of the strategies they can use, along with rich models of practice on which to draw. They also make it possible for candidates to engage with approaches to teaching and learning that extend beyond their previous school experiences.⁵⁹

The first part of this strategy consists of building a positive, collaborative culture focused on whole child development and learning through policies and practices that create pedagogical alignment between adult and PreK–12 student learning. The knowledge, skills, and dispositions that educators need to do their jobs well are tightly aligned to the capacities they are being asked to develop in their students: the ability to think critically and solve problems; to apply knowledge to novel situations; to engage and communicate well with others; and to manage their work effectively. Similarly, just as children’s development and learning are shaped by interactions among the environmental factors, relationships, and learning opportunities they experience, so too is educators’ capacity to use the principles of the science of learning and development in practice shaped by immersion in supportive contexts. Therefore, it can be said that learning about whole child pedagogy for deeper learning and equity also means learning with and through such pedagogy.

In other words, pedagogical alignment means that enactment of the science of learning and development in practice is both the goal and the guide for teacher educators. This alignment requires that the structures and practices of educator preparation programs are shaped by a coherent vision of learning and development for both children and adults. Furthermore, the coherence of this vision must extend not only across preparation programs but also into PreK–12 settings and even out-of-school learning opportunities. Such coherence is enabled by well-supported clinical experiences tightly linked to coursework and integrating theory and practice. It is also a developmental approach, based on adult learning theory, aimed at scaffolding teacher candidates, and is among the stages leading to adaptive expertise. Such progress includes acquiring professional knowledge through guided experience, reflective practice, and structured inquiry. It is important to note that the development of adaptive expertise, like child and adolescent development, is both nonlinear and iterative, so teacher candidates’ learning will likely proceed in such a fashion.

Pedagogical Alignment in Action at Bank Street College

A fusion between theory and practice—through connected coursework and clinical work—aims to help teachers develop a vision for teaching as well as develop knowledge and skills. At Bank Street College of Education, a distinctive child-centered vision of teaching and learning is supported through a highly integrated process of learning to teach and is ever-present in how the faculty teach, just as it is in the formal curriculum that faculty seek to transmit. This “hidden curriculum” is, as veteran faculty member Barbara Biber explained, quite deliberate:

We have assumed for many years that, beyond the structured curriculum that is provided, the students internalize the pervasive qualities of the learning environment we try to create for them, that the qualitative characteristics of their own teaching styles will reflect, later, the qualities of their own personal experience in learning to become teachers.

The belief that teachers must have opportunities to learn in the same ways they will someday be expected to teach develops a strong and distinctive practice, immediately visible the moment one enters a classroom or a school touched by Bank Street preparation. In the Bank Street School for Children, children are building with blocks, making books, designing architecture and science projects, arguing math, and collaborating with one another on a kaleidoscope of projects. In college classrooms, prospective teachers can also be seen making picture books for children and curriculum

books for teachers; experimenting with beans, sand, water, and other manipulatives for math and science; constructing museum and community trips for themselves and future students; and collaborating with one another on a variety of projects.

In [Teaching Language Through Applied Experience](#), we saw how Luisa Costa taught teacher candidates how to support English learners by putting them in that role and modeling how to scaffold their learning. And in a vignette in the section [Curriculum Rooted in a Deep Understanding of Learners, Learning, and Development](#), we met Jarod, a Bank Street student teacher who worked with Ted Pollen at Midtown West School in New York City.

While teaching with Pollen, Jarod was enrolled in Mathematics for Teachers in Diverse and Inclusive Educational Settings, where he was learning many of the practices he saw modeled in Pollen's classroom. Jarod noted that this course provided him with "easy prompts that you can make yourself do as a student teacher ... constantly asking [students] why: Why do you think that? Why did you do that? Can you show me how that works? Can someone else repeat what that person just said? Did anyone do something different?"

Jarod described how he saw Pollen, his cooperating teacher, model this type of inquiry in his classroom. Through both his Bank Street math course and his cooperating teacher modeling inquiry-based instruction in math, Jarod was learning how to develop questions that guide students to direct their own math learning, as well as how to draw on Vygotsky's theory and support children's learning within their own different zones of proximal development as they collectively teach and learn. As Jarod learned to scaffold students' inquiry and thinking by asking questions, he was also learning how to interpret the answers he received from students in terms of their developing thoughts and understandings so he could figure out what they were ready to do next and what kinds of supports they might need.

Sources: Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. p. 17; Biber, B. (1973, November 27). *What is Bank Street?* [Lecture at Bank Street College of Education Convocation luncheon]; Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. In M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman (Eds.). Harvard University Press.

In programs aligned to a coherent vision of learning and development as seen in [Pedagogical Alignment in Action at Bank Street College](#), instructors, supervisors, and cooperating teachers enact and unpack practices they expect teacher candidates to use, including culturally responsive and empowering pedagogies, across courses and clinical settings. Enactment of practice is multifaceted and emphasizes engagement of candidates in the design, organization, implementation, and assessment of preparation program learning experiences. Teacher educators—instructors, mentors, and supervisors—in the university and at the school site model and name practices, explain why they are powerful, and indicate how they can be applied, making the implicit explicit so that candidates might gain understanding that they can transfer to their clinical experiences.⁶⁰ This level of pedagogical alignment requires extensive engagement in clinical practice that instantiates what educators need to learn. Candidates must have opportunities to observe and put enacted pedagogies into practice, then reflect on the results if they are to acquire a deep, flexible, firsthand understanding of learning and development.⁶¹ These opportunities, combined with time and guidance for reflection, support teacher candidates' metacognition and progress toward adaptive expertise.⁶²

Learning by Giving and Receiving Feedback

It is the last day of class in ED 225 Literacy in Early Childhood. In this course, candidates learn about emergent literacy, oral language, reading, writing, and literature. This course is the second of four field experiences for Alverno College undergraduate students. It is an opportunity for candidates to observe and practice literacy teaching in an elementary school setting.

Candidates practice making sound decisions, teaching literacy learning strategies, selecting appropriate materials, and designing developmentally appropriate learning activities and assessments.

Before the class begins, six students are gathered at the back of the room, sharing a potluck feast of Oreos, pepperoni pizza, cheesecake brownies, hummus and pita chips, pastries, and caffeine. They are about to celebrate their progress in teaching literacy, but first they will each share a 15-minute video of themselves teaching a literacy lesson in their field placement. Candidates observe themselves and each other, then give each other specific feedback based on the theories and pedagogies they learned in this class and in prior Alverno coursework and field experiences. As the syllabus describes, the goal of this activity is to “share and reflect on video clips to build a deeper understanding of effective literacy lessons in relation to student learning and theory.”

The instructor prepared various materials to support the teacher candidates during this class. She has printed her PowerPoint slides for her students that include helpful notes such as Key Questions for Teaching and Learning: (1) What do we want our students to know and be able to do? (2) How do we know the effect of our program on student learning? (3) What can we do to facilitate learning?

The instructor’s notes also outline a helpful approach to scaffolding:

Teacher	Student
I do ...	You watch
I do ...	You help
I help ...	You do
I watch ...	You do

The instructor provides many tools to support the candidates’ learning during the video activity, including:

- A self-assessment framework.
- Criteria for evaluating candidates’ fieldwork lessons, which is informed by the Wisconsin teaching standards and Alverno’s educational standards.
- A rubric for evaluating candidates’ self-assessments. For example, when looking at a candidate’s performance at “observing the entire teaching performance” during a lesson, a beginning or emerging rating would be “identifies the strengths and weaknesses and provides accurate observations as evidence for strengths and weaknesses,” whereas an advanced or distinctive rating would be “applies disciplinary concepts and frameworks to observations, showing creative judgment in their individual or combined use.”
- Prompts or “thinking frames” for the candidates to help them give each other feedback that connects to theories about teaching and learning, such as “I noticed the student(s) when you [the teacher] This reflects theory because”

After the instructor begins class with a brief overview of the goals for the day, the six students split into groups of three, in separate classrooms. They spend 15 minutes observing one candidate's videotaped lesson and another 15 minutes giving the candidate feedback on her lesson. This feedback includes "Glows" (i.e., the effective teaching strategies that the candidate adopted in the video), "Evidence of Student Learning," and "Grows" (i.e., suggestions for changes). As the candidates review each other's videos, they notice tools and resources that the mentor teachers use in their classrooms. For example, in one video, a teacher illustrates a classroom management technique for getting students' attention—saying "one, two, three" and having the students clap. Through the videos, teacher candidates can observe multiple classrooms and multiple approaches to teaching literacy and organizing a classroom.

During one video, a candidate presents a vocabulary lesson that she used in her 1st-grade field placement. As the video plays, the candidate presenting the video acknowledges that the reading she selected for the lesson "is too complicated" because "the sentences are too long" for 1st-graders. The candidates provide each other feedback and note observations through the video clips. The instructor takes notes during the candidates' videos and discussions. She rarely joins the candidates' conversation and does so only to ask a clarifying question.

Source: Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. pp. 132–133.

In the example in the previous vignette, the session allowed candidates to practice with and experience feedback strategies that they will be able to use in their own classrooms. Their instructor had designed a task that instantiated the practices and included each candidate in experiencing them, rather than just describing them in the abstract. Through the use of videos, the candidates were also enabled to see and analyze other classroom practices used by their colleagues, expanding their experiential learning opportunities.

By definition, the integration of theory and practice around a SoLD-aligned vision, which is necessary for the development of adaptive expertise, can shape educator preparation programs and clinical partnerships. This alignment is dependent upon intentional opportunities to connect learning across courses and clinical settings. These opportunities allow candidates to develop and apply knowledge in practice through observation and analysis of teaching and structured planning and implementation of instructional strategies. These opportunities are made possible by close partnerships between preparation programs and PreK–12 schools and districts. Beyond aligned commitments to a shared vision of practice, partnerships of this type are built upon programmatic structures such as sufficient professional development and time for university-based faculty, site supervisors, district and school administrators, and mentor teachers to collaboratively engage in such practice. This collaborative engagement is addressed in greater detail in the section [Supportive Developmental Relationships in Communities of Practice](#).⁶³

Endnotes

1. Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2019). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context. *Applied Developmental Science*, 23(4), 307–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398649>; Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>; Osher, D., Cantor, P., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2020). Drivers of human development: How relationships and context shape learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(1), 6–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398650>
2. Nasir, N., Lee, C. D., Pea, R. D., & de Royston, M. M. (Eds.). (2020). *Handbook of the cultural foundations of learning*. Routledge; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *How people learn II: Learners, contexts, and cultures*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/24783>; National Research Council. (2012). *Education for life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st century*. National Academies Press.
3. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs. (2020). *Children exposed to violence*. <https://www.ojp.gov/program/programs/cev#%3A~%3Atext%3DA%20study%20of%20a%20national%2Cviolence%205%20or%20more%20times>
4. Ginwright, S. (2015). *Hope and healing in urban education: How urban activists and teachers are reclaiming matters of the heart*. Routledge.
5. National Research Council. (2012). *Education for life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st century*. National Academies Press.
6. Milner, H. R. (2020). *Start where you are but don't stay there: Understanding diversity, opportunity gaps, and teaching in today's classrooms* (2nd ed.). Harvard Education Press; Stafford-Brizard, K. B. (2016, July 22). Nonacademic skills are the necessary foundation for learning. *Education Week*. <https://www.edweek.org/leadership/opinion-nonacademic-skills-are-the-necessary-foundation-for-learning/2016/07>
7. Steele, D. M., & Cohn-Vargas, B. (2013). *Identity safe classrooms, grades K–5: Places to belong and learn*. Corwin Press.
8. Learning Policy Institute & Turnaround for Children. (2021). *Design principles for schools: Putting the science of learning and development into action*. Learning Policy Institute. p. v. <https://k12.designprinciples.org/>
9. Clandinin, D. J., & Hsu, J. (Eds.). (2017). *The SAGE handbook of research on teacher education*. Sage; Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>; Lee, C. D. (2017). Integrating research on how people learn and learning across settings as a window of opportunity to address inequality in educational processes and outcomes. *Review of Research in Education*, 41(1), 88–111; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *How people learn II: Learners, contexts, and cultures*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/24783>
10. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. pp. 300–301. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>
11. Moll, L., & Gonzalez, N. (2004). Engaging life: A funds-of-knowledge approach to multicultural education. In J. Banks & C. Banks (Eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education* (2nd ed.; pp. 699–715). Jossey-Bass.
12. Immordino-Yang, M. H., Darling-Hammond, L., & Krone, C. R. (2019). Nurturing nature: How brain development is inherently social and emotional, and what this means for education. *Educational Psychologist*, 54(3), 185–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2019.1633924>
13. Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2019). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context. *Applied Developmental Science*, 23(4), 307–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398649>; Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>; Osher, D., Cantor, P., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2020). Drivers of human development: How relationships and context shape learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(1), 6–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398650>

14. Grimmett, P. P., & MacKinnon, A. M. (1992). Craft knowledge and the education of teachers. *Review of Research in Education*, 18, 385–456.
15. Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4–14. <https://www.wcu.edu/webfiles/pdfs/shulman.pdf>
16. Dewey, J. (1902). *The child and the curriculum*. University of Chicago Press.
17. Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Cain, W. (2013). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24636917>; Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., Kereluik, K., Shin, T. S., & Graham, C. R. (2014). The technological pedagogical content knowledge framework. In M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (4th ed.; pp. 101–111). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5_9
18. The song remains the same: Looking back to the future of educational technology. (2009, September). *TechTrends*, 53(5), 48–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-009-0325-3>
19. Mishra, P., Nicholson, M. D., & Wojcikiewicz, S. K. (2001). Seeing ourselves in the computer: How we relate to technologies. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 44(7), 634–641. p. 637. https://www.academia.edu/3620674/Does_my_wordprocessor_have_a_personality_Topfer_s_Law_and_Educational_Technology
20. Kimmons, R., Graham, C. R., & West, R. E. (2020). The PICRAT model for technology integration in teacher preparation. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 20(1). <https://citejournal.org/volume-20/issue-1-20/general/the-picrat-model-for-technology-integration-in-teacher-preparation>
21. Lytle, S. R., Garcia-Sierra, A., & Kuhl, P. K. (2018). Two are better than one: Infant language learning from video improves in the presence of peers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(40), 9859–9866. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611621115>
22. Barber, A. T., Cartwright, K. B., Hancock, G. R., & Klauda, S. L. (2021). Beyond the simple view of reading: The role of executive functions in emergent bilinguals' and English monolinguals' reading comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 56(S1), S45–S64; Cecil, N. L., Lozano, A. S., & Chaplin, M. (2020). *Striking a balance: A comprehensive approach to early literacy*. Routledge; Padak, N., & Rasinski, T. V. (2011). Literacy instruction: Toward a comprehensive, scientific, and artistic literacy curriculum. In R. F. Flippo (Ed.), *Reading researchers in search of common ground* (2nd ed.; pp. 212–226). Routledge; Semingson, P., & Kerns, W. (2021). Where is the evidence: Looking back to Jeanne Chall and enduring debates about the science of reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 56(S1), S157–S170.
23. Kuo, L.-J., Chen, Z., & Ko, S. W. (2016). The impact of bilingual experience on the literacy development of struggling readers. *Journal of Childhood & Developmental Disorders*, 2(9). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2472-1786.100017>; Marian, V., & Shook, A. (2012). The cognitive benefits of being bilingual. *Cerebrum*, 13. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3583091/>
24. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>; Dweck, C. S. (2017). *Mindset* (2nd ed.). Brown, Little Book Group.
25. Osher, D., Cantor, P., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2020). Drivers of human development: How relationships and context shape learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(1), 6–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398650>
26. Shonkoff, J. P., Richmond, J., Levitt, P., Bunge, S. A., Cameron, J. L., Duncan, G. J., & Nelson, C. A., III. (2016). *From best practices to breakthrough impacts: A science-based approach to building a more promising future for young children and families*. Harvard University, Center on the Developing Child. pp. 747–756.
27. Roorda, D. L., Koomen, H. M., Spilt, J. L., & Oort, F. J. (2011). The influence of affective teacher–student relationships on students' school engagement and achievement: A meta-analytic approach. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(4), 493–529. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654311421793>
28. Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2019). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context. *Applied Developmental Science*, 23(4), 307–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2017.1398649>
29. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>

30. Fronius, T., Darling-Hammond, S., Persson, H., Guckenburger, S., Hurley, N., & Petrosino, A. (2019). *Restorative justice in U.S. schools: An updated review*. WestEd Justice & Prevention Research Center; Gregory, A., Ward-Seidel, A. R., & Carter, K. V. (2021). Twelve indicators of restorative practices implementation: A framework for educational leaders. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 31(2), 147–179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10474412.2020.1824788>
31. DePaoli, J. L., Hernández, L. E., Furger, R. C., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2021). *A restorative approach for equitable education*. Learning Policy Institute. <https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/wce-restorative-approach-equitable-education-brief>
32. Schott Foundation for Public Education. (2014). *Restorative practices: Fostering healthy relationships and promoting positive discipline in schools*. <https://schottfoundation.org/restorative-practices/>
33. Submitted by Gilda Martinez-Alba, Towson University.
34. Steele, C. M. (2011). *Whistling Vivaldi: How stereotypes affect us and what we can do*. W. W. Norton & Company.
35. Dweck, C. S. (2000). *Self-theories: Their role in motivation, personality, and development*. Psychology Press.
36. Calderón, M., Slavin, R., & Sánchez, M. (2011). Effective instruction for English learners. *The Future of Children*, 21(1), 103–127. <https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2011.0007>; Gay, G. (2015). The what, why, and how of culturally responsive teaching: International mandates, challenges, and opportunities. *Multicultural Education Review*, 7(3), 123–139; Gutiérrez, K. D. (2019). Rupturing white innocence in teacher education: Designing teacher education as a proleptic activity through social design experiments. *Teachers College Record*, 121(6), 1–7; Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). But that's just good teaching! The case for culturally relevant pedagogy. *Theory Into Practice*, 34(3), 159–165; Love, B. L. (2019). *We want to do more than survive: Abolitionist teaching and the pursuit of educational freedom*. Beacon Press; Paris, D., & Alim, H. S. (Eds.). (2017). *Culturally sustaining pedagogies: Teaching and learning for justice in a changing world*. Teachers College Press; Picower, B. (2012). Using their words: Six elements of social justice curriculum design for the elementary classroom. *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.18251/ijme.v14i1.484>; Tuck, E., & Gaztambide-Fernández, R. A. (2013). Curriculum, replacement, and settler futurity. *Journal of Curriculum Theorizing*, 29(1), 72–89.
37. Darling-Hammond, L., French, J., & Garcia-Lopez, S. P. (2002). *Learning to teach for social justice*. Teachers College Press.
38. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>
39. Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory Into Practice*, 31(2), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849209543534>
40. Lee, C. D. (2017). Integrating research on how people learn and learning across settings as a window of opportunity to address inequality in educational processes and outcomes. *Review of Research in Education*, 41(1), 88–111. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X16689046>
41. Jacques, C., & Villegas, A. (2018). *Strategies for equitable family engagement*. State Support Network. https://oese.ed.gov/files/2020/10/equitable_family_engag_508.pdf
42. Breiseth, L., Robertson, K., & Lafond, S. (2015). *Encouraging and sustaining ELL parent engagement*. Colorín Colorado. <https://www.colorincolorado.org/article/encouraging-and-sustaining-ell-parent-engagement>
43. Darling-Hammond, L., French, J., & Garcia-Lopez, S. P. (Eds.). (2002). *Learning to teach for social justice*. Teachers College Press.
44. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school* (Expanded ed.). National Academy Press.
45. Kennedy, M. (1999). The role of preservice teacher education. In L. Darling-Hammond & G. Sykes (Eds.), *Teaching as the learning profession: Handbook of policy and practice* (pp. 54–86). Jossey-Bass; Schon, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
46. Feiman-Nemser, S., & Buchmann, M. (1989). Describing teacher education: A framework and illustrative findings from a longitudinal study of six students. *Elementary School Journal*, 89(3), 365–378; Lortie, D. C. (1975). *Schoolteachers: A sociological study*. University of Chicago Press.

47. Bransford, J., Derry, S., Berliner, D., Hammerness, K., & Beckett, K. L. (2005). Theories of learning and their roles in teaching. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.), *Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do* (pp. 40–87). John Wiley & Sons.
48. Hatch, T., & Grossman, P. (2009). Learning to look beyond the boundaries of representation: Using technology to examine teaching (Overview for a digital exhibition: Learning from the practice of teaching). *Journal of Teacher Education*, 60(1), 70–85; Lampert, M., Franke, M. L., Kazemi, E., Ghouseini, H., Turrou, A. C., Beasley, H., Cunard, A., & Crowe, K. (2013). Keeping it complex: Using rehearsals to support novice teacher learning of ambitious teaching. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 64(3), 226–243.
49. CAST. (2018). *Universal design for learning guidelines* (version 2.2). https://udlguidelines.cast.org/static/udlg_graphicorganizer_v2-2_numbers-yes.pdf
50. Hammerness, K., Darling-Hammond, L., & Shulman, L. (2002). Toward expert thinking: How curriculum case writing prompts the development of theory-based professional knowledge in student teachers. *Teaching Education*, 13(2), 219–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047621022000007594>
51. Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S. L. (1993). *Inside/outside: Teacher research and knowledge*. Teachers College Press.
52. Boyle-Baise, M., & Sleeter, C. E. (2000). Community-based service learning for multicultural teacher education. *Journal of Educational Foundations*, 14(2), 33; Roeser, R. W. (2002). Bringing a “whole adolescent” perspective to secondary teacher education: A case study of the use of an adolescent case study. *Teaching Education*, 13(2), 155–178. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047621022000007567>; Villegas, A. M., & Lucas, T. (2002). *Educating culturally responsive teachers: A coherent approach*. State University of New York Press. p. 145.
53. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman/Times Books/Henry Holt & Co.; Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 202–248. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543068002202>; Zee, M., & Koomen, H. M. Y. (2016). Teacher self-efficacy and its effects on classroom processes, student academic adjustment, and teacher well-being: A synthesis of 40 years of research. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(4), 981–1015. <https://doi.org/10.3102%2F0034654315626801>
54. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman/Times Books/Henry Holt & Co.; Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(7), 783–805. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(01\)00036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(01)00036-1); Zee, M., & Koomen, H. M. Y. (2016). Teacher self-efficacy and its effects on classroom processes, student academic adjustment, and teacher well-being: A synthesis of 40 years of research. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(4), 981–1015. <https://doi.org/10.3102%2F0034654315626801>
55. Chung, R. R. (2008). Beyond assessment: Performance assessments in teacher education. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 35(1), 7–28. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ810640>; Goldhaber, D., Cowan, J., & Theobald, R. (2016). *Evaluating prospective teachers: Testing the predictive validity of the edTPA* [CEDR Working Paper 2016–7]. University of Washington; Lustick, D., & Sykes, G. (2006). National Board Certification as professional development: What are teachers learning? *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 14(5). <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v14n5.2006>; National Research Council. (2008). *Assessing accomplished teaching: Advanced-level certification programs*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/12224>; Newton, S. P. (2010). *Preservice performance assessment and teacher early career effectiveness: Preliminary findings on the Performance Assessment for California Teachers*. Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, and Equity; Sato, M., Wei, R. C., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2008). Improving teachers’ assessment practices through professional development: The case of National Board Certification. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(3), 669–700. <https://doi.org/10.3102%2F0002831208316955>
56. Kuhl, P. K. (2000). A new view of language acquisition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(22), 11850–11857.
57. Lortie, D. C. (2008). Schoolteacher. In M. Cochran-Smith, S. Feiman-Nemser, J. McIntyre, & K. E. Demers (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teacher education* (pp. 513–523). Routledge.
58. Barron, B., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2008). *Teaching for meaningful learning: A review of research on inquiry-based and cooperative learning* [Book excerpt]. George Lucas Educational Foundation.
59. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>; Wechsler, M. E., & Wojcikiewicz, S. K. (2023). *Preparing leaders for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press.

60. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>
61. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>; Hammerness, K., & Darling-Hammond, L. (with Grossman, P., Rust, F., & Shulman, L.). (2005). The design of teacher education programs. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.), *Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do* (pp. 390–441). Wiley; Koerner, M., & Rust, F. O. (with Baumgartner, F.). (2002). Exploring roles in student teaching placements. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 29(2), 35–58. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23478290>; National Research Council. (2000). *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards: A guide for teaching and learning*. National Academies Press; Schwartz, D. L., & Bransford, J. D. (1998). A time for telling. *Cognition and Instruction*, 16(4), 475–522. https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xci1604_4
62. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>
63. Clandinin, D. J., & Hsu, J. (Eds.). (2017). *The SAGE handbook of research on teacher education*. Sage; Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>; Lee, C. D. (2017). Integrating research on how people learn and learning across settings as a window of opportunity to address inequality in educational processes and outcomes. *Review of Research in Education*, 41(1), 88–111; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *How people learn II: Learners, contexts, and cultures*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/24783>
64. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. pp. 300–301. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>; Learning Policy Institute & Turnaround for Children. (2021). *Design principles for schools: Putting the science of learning and development into action*. Learning Policy Institute. p. v. <https://k12.designprinciples.org/>
65. Darling-Hammond, L., Oakes, J., Wojcikiewicz, S. K., Hyler, M. E., Guha, R., Podolsky, A., Kini, T., Cook-Harvey, C., Mercer, C., & Harrell, A. (2019). *Preparing teachers for deeper learning*. Harvard Education Press. <https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/9781682532928/preparing-teachers-for-deeper-learning/>; Tharp, R. G., & Gallimore, R. (1991). *Rousing minds to life: Teaching, learning, and schooling in social context*. Cambridge University Press.
66. Guha, R., Hyler, M. E., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2016). *The teacher residency: An innovative model for preparing teachers*. Learning Policy Institute. <https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/teacher-residency>; Hollins, E. R., & Warner, C. K. (2021). *Evaluating the clinical component of teacher preparation programs*. National Academy of Education. https://naeducation.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/2nd-pp-for-NAEd-EITPP-Paper-5-Hollins_Warner.pdf; National Center for Teacher Residencies. (2015). *Clinically oriented teacher preparation*. <https://nctrresidencies.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/NCTR-COTP-Final-Single-Pgs-1.pdf>; National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education Blue Ribbon Panel on Clinical Preparation and Partnerships for Improved Student Learning. (2010). *Transforming teacher education through clinical practice: A national strategy to prepare effective teachers*. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED512807.pdf>; Patrick, S. K., Darling-Hammond, L., & Kini, T. (2023). *Early impact of teacher residencies in California* [Fact sheet]. Learning Policy Institute. <https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/educating-teachers-in-california-residencies-factsheet>
67. Partnership for the Future of Learning. (2021). *Building a strong and diverse teacher workforce*.
68. Sentance, S., & Humphreys, S. (2018). Understanding professional learning for computing teachers from the perspective of situated learning. *Computer Science Education*, 28(4), 345–370. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08993408.2018.1525233>
69. Hammerness, K., & Darling-Hammond, L. (with Grossman, P., Rust, F., & Shulman, L.). (2005). The design of teacher education programs. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.), *Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do* (pp. 391–441). John Wiley & Sons.
70. Center for Research and Evaluation of Education and Human Services. (2017). *Newark Montclair Urban Teacher Residency and the Woodrow Wilson Teaching Fellowship Graduate Network Study*.
71. Putnam, R., & Borko, H. (2000). What do new views of knowledge and thinking have to say about research on teacher learning? *Educational Researcher*, 21(1), 4–15. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X029001004>

72. Flores, B. B., Hernández, A., García, C. T., & Claey, L. (2011). Teacher academy induction learning community: Guiding teachers through their zone of proximal development. *Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning*, 19(3), 365–389. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13611267.2011.597124>; Hord, S. M. (2009). Professional learning communities. *Journal of Staff Development*, 30(1), 40–43. <https://learningforward.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/hord301.pdf>; Pan H.-L. W., & Cheng S.-H. (2023). Examining the impact of teacher learning communities on self-efficacy and professional learning: An application of the theory-driven evaluation. *Sustainability*, 15(6), 4771. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15064771>; Thessin, R. A. (2015). Learning from one urban school district: Planning to provide essential supports for teachers' work in professional learning communities. *Educational Planning*, 22(1), 15–27. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1208550>
73. National Network for Education Renewal. (2007). *The NNER mission*. <https://nnerpartnerships.org/>